Peer Evaluation - Presentations

Presenter:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Evaluation:

Content: Did the content of the presentation meet the required project expectations?

1 2 3 4 5

Was the technical information communicated effectively? (Did you understand it?)

1 2 3 4 5

Deportment/Presentation Skills. (e.g. eye contact, not reading the slide, speaking quality, confidence)

1 2 3 4 5

Overall score: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Write 3 compliments about how the presenter was effective:

1.

2.

3.

Make 2 suggestions for how the presenter can improve in the future:

1.

2.

Effective Communication Rubric

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1 = Deficient** | **2 = Weak** | **3 = Acceptable** | **4 = Desired** | **5 = Exemplary** |
| Incompetently presents technical concepts (technology, applications) to peers; leaves others confused, doubting correctness of information | Unclearly discusses technical concepts (technology, applications) with peers; does not aid but may confuse understanding of others | Understandably discusses technical concepts (technology, applications) with peers; reinforces technical knowledge of others | Clearly, competently discusses technical concepts (technology, applications) with peers; enhances technical understanding of others | Proficiently explains, engages peers actively in discussion of technical concepts in context; inspires, deepens rich technical understanding of others |